



## Digitalization of elderly people's home environments in Slovenia (DELS)

Collected references by topics – results of the first work package

Boštjan Kerbler, Ajda Šeme (editors)

### Project team members

Urban Planning Institute of the Republic of Slovenia:

Dr. Boštjan Kerbler

Dr. Richard Sendi

Dr. Igor Bizjak

MSc. Ajda Šeme

Faculty of Social Sciences:

Dr. Maša Filipovič Hrast

Dr. Valentina Hlebec

MSc. Miriam Hurtado Monarres

The research project is supported by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency

Grant numbers: J5-50175

1 February 2024

Univerza v Ljubljani  
Fakulteta za družbene vede

## Collected references by topics – results of the first work package

### Home digitalization/smart homes

- Alaa, M., Zaidan, A.A., Zaidan, B.B., Talal, M., & Kiah, M.L.M. (2017) A review of smart home applications based on internet of things. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 97(1), pp. 48–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2017.08.017>
- Andersson, G., Titov, N., Dear, B.F., Rozental, A., & Carlbring, P. (2019) Internet-delivered psychological treatments: From innovation to implementation. *World Psychiatry*, 18(1), pp. 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20610>
- Austin, J., Dodge, H.H., Riley, T., Jacobs, P.G., Thielke, S., & Kaye, J. (2016) A smart-home system to unobtrusively and continuously assess loneliness in older adults. *IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine*, 4, pp. 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JTEHM.2016.2579638>
- Blackman, S., Matlo, C., Bobrovitskiy, C., Waldoch, A., Fang, M.L., Jackson, P., et al. (2016) Ambient assisted living technologies for aging well: A scoping review. *Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 25(1), pp. 55–69. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jisys-2014-0136>
- Chimento Díaz, S., Sánchez-García, P., Franco-Antonio, C., Santano-Mogena, E., Espino-Tato, I., & Cordovilla-Guardia, S. (2022) Factors associated with the acceptance of new technologies for ageing in place by people over 64 years of age. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, p. 2947. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19052947>
- Choi, Y.K., Thompson, H.J., & Demiris, G. (2021) Internet-of-things smart home technology to support aging-in-place: Older adults' perceptions and attitudes. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 47(4), pp. 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.3928/00989134-20210310-03>
- Chung, J., Demiris, G., & Thompson, H.J. (2016) Ethical considerations regarding the use of smart home technologies for older adults: An integrative review. *Annual Review of Nursing Research*, 34(1), pp. 155–181. <https://doi.org/10.1891/0739-6686.34.155>
- Claes, V., Devriendt, E., Tournoy, J., & Milisen, K. (2015) Attitudes and perceptions of adults of 60 years and older towards in-home monitoring of the activities of daily living with contactless sensors: An explorative study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 52(1), pp. 134–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.05.010>
- Courtney, K.L., Demiris, G., Rantz, M., & Skubic, M. (2008) Needing smart home technologies: The perspectives of older adults in continuing care retirement communities. *Informatics in Primary Care*, 16(3), pp. 195–201. <https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v16i3.694>
- Darby, S.J. (2018) Smart technology in the home: Time for more clarity. *Building Research & Information*, 46(1), pp. 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2017.1301707>
- Demiris, G., Rantz, M., Aud, M., Marek, K., Tyrer, H., Skubic, M., et al. (2004) Older adults' attitudes towards and perceptions of 'smart home' technologies: A pilot study. *Medical*

*Informatics and the Internet in Medicine*, 29(2), pp. 87–94.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14639230410001684387>

Feldwieser, F., Gietzelt, M., Goevercin, M., Marschollek, M., Meis, M., Winkelbach, S., et al. (2014) Multimodal sensor-based fall detection within the domestic environment of elderly people. *Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie*, 47(8), pp. 661–665.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00391-014-0805-8>

Ghafurian, M., Wang, K., Dhode, I., Kapoor, M., Morita, P.P., & Dautenhahn, K. (2023) Smart home devices for supporting older adults: A systematic review. *IEEE Access*, 11, pp. 47137–47158. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3266647>

Ghorayeb, A., Comber, R., & Goberman-Hill, R. (2021) Older adults' perspectives of smart home technology: Are we developing the technology that older people want? *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102571>

Hargreaves, T., & Wilson, C. (2017) Perceived benefits and risks of smart home technologies. In: Hargreaves, T., & Wilson, C. (eds.) *Smart homes and their users: Human–computer interaction series*, pp. 35–53. Cham, Springer International Publishing.

[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68018-7\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68018-7_3)

Hemalatha, T., Venkat Bhargava, P., Akhil Reddy, L., & Ravi Teja, K. (2014) A review on smart home technology to succor elderly people. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 9(24), pp. 30507–30528.

Hong, A., Nam, C., & Kim, S. (2020) What will be the possible barriers to consumers' adoption of smart home services? *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(2), p. 101867.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101867>

Jaschinski, C., Ben Allouch, S., Peters, O., Cachucho, R., & Van Dijk, J.A.G.M. (2020) Acceptance of technologies for aging in place: A conceptual model 23(3), p. e22613.

<https://doi.org/10.2196/22613>

Jo, T.H., Ma, J.H., & Cha, S.H. (2021) Elderly perception on the internet of things-based integrated smart-home system. *Sensors*, 21(4), p. 1284. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041284>

Kerbler, B. (2013) The elderly and a remote home care: The case of Slovenia. *Stanovništvo*, 51(1), pp. 23–41. <https://doi.org/10.2298/STNV1301023K>

Kim, M.J., Cho, M.E., & Jun, H.J. (2020) Developing design solutions for smart homes through user-centered scenarios. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, p. 335.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00335>

Kim, Y., Park, Y., & Choi, J. (2017) A study on the adoption of IoT smart home service: Using value-based adoption model. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 28(9–10), pp. 1149–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2017.1310708>

Korkmaz Yaylagul, N., Kirisik, H., Bernardo, J., Dantas, C., van Staalduinen, W., Illario, M., et al. (2022) Trends in telecare use among community-dwelling older adults: A scoping

- review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(24).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192416672>
- Lee, B., Chen, Y., & Hewitt, L. (2011) Age differences in constraints encountered by seniors in their use of computers and the internet. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(3), pp. 1231–1237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.01.003>
- Londei, S.T., Rousseau, J., Ducharme, F., St-Arnaud, A., Meunier, J., Saint-Arnaud, J., et al. (2009) An intelligent videomonitoring system for fall detection at home: Perceptions of elderly people. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 15(8), pp. 383–390.  
<https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2009.090107>
- Marston, H., & Samuels, J. (2019) A review of age friendly virtual assistive technologies and their effect on daily living for carers and dependent adults. *Healthcare*, 7(1), p. 49.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare7010049>
- Marston, H.R.R., & Hadley, R.A. (2020) COVID-19 vs social isolation: The impact technology can have on communities, social connections and citizens. *Ageing Issue*.
- Martin, S., Kelly, G., Kernohan, W.G., McCreight, B., & Nugent, C. (2008) Smart home technologies for health and social care support. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*,  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006412.pub2>
- Maswadi, K., Ghani, N.A., & Hamid, S. (2022) Factors influencing the elderly’s behavioural intention to use smart home technologies in Saudi Arabia. *PLoS ONE*, 17(8 August).  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272525>
- Mckee, K., Matlabi, H., & Parker, S.G. (2012) Older people’s quality of life and role of home-based technology. *Health Promotion Perspectives*, 2(1), pp. 1–8.  
<https://doi.org/10.5681/hpp.2012.001>
- McMurray, J., Strudwick, G., Forchuk, C., Morse, A., Lachance, J., Baskaran, A., et al. (2017) The importance of trust in the adoption and use of intelligent assistive technology by older adults to support aging in place: Scoping review protocol. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 6(11), p. e8772. <https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.8772>
- Pal, D., Funilkul, S., Charoenkitkarn, N., & Kanthamanon, P. (2018a) Internet-of-things and smart homes for elderly healthcare: An end user perspective. *IEEE ACCESS*, 6, pp. 10483–10496. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2808472>
- Pal, D., Funilkul, S., Vanijja, V., & Papasratorn, B. (2018b) Analyzing the elderly users’ adoption of smart-home services. *IEEE ACCESS*, 6, pp. 51238–51252.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2869599>
- Pal, D., Papasratorn, B., Chutimaskul, W., & Funilkul, S. (2019) Embracing the smart-home revolution in Asia by the elderly: An end-user negative perception modeling. *IEEE Access*, 7, pp. 38535–38549. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2906346>

- Pal, D., Triyason, T., Funilkul, S., & Chutimaskul, W. (2018c) Smart homes and quality of life for the elderly: Perspective of competing models. *IEEE ACCESS*, 6, pp. 8109–8122. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2798614>
- Pech, M., Sauzeon, H., Yebda, T., Benois-Pineau, J., & Amieva, H. (2021) Falls detection and prevention systems in home care for older adults: Myth or reality? *JMIR AGING*, 4(4), p. e29744. <https://doi.org/10.2196/29744>
- Peek, S.T.M., Luijkx, K.G., Rijnaard, M.D., Nieboer, M.E., Van Der Voort, C.S., Aarts, S., et al. (2016) Older adults' reasons for using technology while aging in place. *Gerontology*, 62(2), pp. 226–237. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000430949>
- Peek, S.T.M., Wouters, E.J.M., van Hoof, J., Luijkx, K.G., Boeije, H.R., & Vrijhoef, H.J.M. (2014) Factors influencing acceptance of technology for aging in place: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 83(4), pp. 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2014.01.004>
- Pirzada, P., Wilde, A., Doherty, G.H., & Harris-Birtill, D. (2022) Ethics and acceptance of smart homes for older adults. *Informatics for Health & Social Care*, 47(1), pp. 10–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2021.1923500>
- Preuß, D., & Legal, F. (2017) Living with the animals: Animal or robotic companions for the elderly in smart homes? *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 43(6), pp. 407–410. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103603>
- Rashed, A., & Al-Emran, M. (2023) Is the elderly prepared for robotics adoption at home care centers? Evidence from an empirical study. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 584 LNNS, pp. 404–415. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25274-7\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25274-7_33)
- Ravishankar, V.K., Burlison, W., & Mahoney, D. (2015) Smart home strategies for user-centered functional assessment of older adults. *International Journal of Automation and Smart Technology*, 5(4), pp. 233–242. <https://doi.org/10.5875/ausmt.v5i4.952>
- Sanchez, M.A., & Zuntini, J.I. (2018) Organizational readiness for the digital transformation: A case study research. *Revista Gestão & Tecnologia*, 18(2), pp. 70–99. <https://doi.org/10.20397/2177-6652/2018.v18i2.1316>
- Sanchez, V.G., Pfeiffer, C.F., & Skeie, N.-O. (2017) A review of smart house analysis methods for assisting older people living alone. *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 6(3), p. 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan6030011>
- Scheibe, M., Lang, C., Druschke, D., Arnold, K., Luntz, E., Schmitt, J., et al. (2021) Independent use of a home-based telemonitoring app by older patients with multimorbidity and mild cognitive impairment: Qualitative study. *Jmir Human Factors*, 8(3), p. e27156. <https://doi.org/10.2196/27156>
- Shank, D., Wright, D., Lulham, R., & Thurgood, C. (2020) Knowledge, perceived benefits, adoption, and use of smart home products. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 37(1), pp. 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2020.1857135>

Sokullu, R., Akkaş, M.A., & Demir, E. (2020) IoT supported smart home for the elderly. *Internet of Things*, 11, p. 100239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2020.100239>

Street, J., Barrie, H., Elliott, J., Carolan, L., McCorry, F., Cebulla, A., et al. (2022) Older adults' perspectives of smart technologies to support aging at home: Insights from five world café forums. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(13), p. 7817. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19137817>

Tipaldi, P., & Natter, M. (2022) Older adults' decisions on smart home systems: Better put an age tag on it! *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(9), pp. 1747–1761. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21698>

Tural, E., Lu, D., & Austin Cole, D. (2021) Safely and actively aging in place: Older adults' attitudes and intentions toward smart home technologies. *Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine*, 7, p. 23337214211017340. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23337214211017340>

Tural, E., Lu, D., & Cole, D.A. (2020) Factors predicting older Adults' attitudes toward and intentions to use stair mobility assistive designs at home. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101082>

Verloo, H., Lorette, A., Gomes Da Rocha, C., Amoussou, J.R., Gillès De Pélichy, E., Matos Queiros, A., et al. (2020) A comprehensive scoping review protocol of using living labs to explore needs and solutions for older adults with dementia. *Smart Homecare Technology and TeleHealth*, Volume 7, pp. 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.2147/SHTT.S233130>

Yu, J., Huang, J., & Yang, Q. (2023) Long-term adoption or abandonment of smart technology in the Chinese elderly home care environment: A qualitative research study. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, 11(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11172440>

Zhang, Q., Li, M., & Wu, Y. (2020) Smart home for elderly care: Development and challenges in China. *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1), p. 318. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-01737-y>

## **Smart homes**

Al-Husamiyah, A., & Al-Bashayreh, M. (2022) A comprehensive acceptance model for smart home services. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6, pp. 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2021.10.005>

Birchley, G., Huxtable, R., Murtagh, M., Ter Meulen, R., Flach, P., & Gooberman-Hill, R. (2017) Smart homes, private homes? An empirical study of technology researchers' perceptions of ethical issues in developing smart-home health technologies. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 18(1), p. 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-017-0183-z>

Gram-Hanssen, K., & Darby, S.J. (2018) “Home is where the smart is”? Evaluating smart home research and approaches against the concept of home. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 37, pp. 94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.09.037>

## Smart cities/communities

Kempin Reuter, T. (2020) *Smart city visions and human rights: do they go together?* Cambridge, Carr Center for Human Rights Policy.

Lnenicka, M., Nikiforova, A., Luterek, M., Azeroual, O., Ukpabi, D., Valtenbergs, V., et al. (2022) Transparency of open data ecosystems in smart cities: Definition and assessment of the maturity of transparency in 22 smart cities. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 82, p. 103906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.103906>

## Technology acceptance

Chen, K., & Chan, A.H.S. (2014) Predictors of gerontechnology acceptance by older Hong Kong Chinese. *Technovation*, 34(2), pp. 126–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2013.09.010>

Czaja, S.J., Charness, N., Fisk, A.D., Hertzog, C., Nair, S.N., Rogers, W.A., et al. (2006) Factors predicting the use of technology: Findings from the Center for Research and Education on Aging and Technology Enhancement (CREATE). *Psychology and Aging*, 21(2), pp. 333–352. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.21.2.333>

Czaja, S.J., & Sharit, J. (1998) Age differences in attitudes toward computers. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 53B(5), pp. P329–P340. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/53B.5.P329>

Davis, F.D. (1989) Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), p. 319. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>

Dillon, A., & Morris, M. (1996) User acceptance of information technology: theories and models. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 31, pp. 3–32.

Dogruel, L., Joeckel, S., & Bowman, N.D. (2015) The use and acceptance of new media entertainment technology by elderly users: development of an expanded technology acceptance model. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 34(11), pp. 1052–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2015.1077890>

Hong, S.-J., Lui, C.S.M., Hahn, J., Moon, J.Y., & Kim, T.G. (2013) How old are you really? Cognitive age in technology acceptance. *Decision Support Systems*, 56, pp. 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2013.05.008>

Jahanmir, S.F., & Lages, L.F. (2016) The late-adopter scale: A measure of late adopters of technological innovations. *Journal of Business Research*, Designing implementable innovative realities 69(5), pp. 1701–1706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.10.041>

Klimova, B., & Poulouva, P. (2018) Older people and technology acceptance. In: Zhou, J., & Salvendy, G. (eds.) *Human aspects of IT for the aged population. acceptance, communication and participation: Lecture notes in computer science*, pp. 85–94. Cham, Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92034-4\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92034-4_7)

- Kristl, N. (2016) Sprejemanje informacijsko-komunikacijske tehnologije: Dejavniki in modeli. *Andragoška spoznanja*, 22(4), pp. 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.4312/as.22.4.7-28>
- Marangunić, N., & Granić, A. (2015) Technology acceptance model: A literature review from 1986 to 2013. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 14(1), pp. 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0348-1>
- McCloskey, D.W. (2006) The importance of ease of use, usefulness, and trust to online consumers: An examination of the technology acceptance model with older customers. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*, 18(3), pp. 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.4018/joeuc.2006070103>
- Mitzner, T.L., Savla, J., Boot, W.R., Sharit, J., Charness, N., Czaja, S.J., et al. (2019) Technology adoption by older adults: Findings from the PRISM trial. *The Gerontologist*, 59(1), pp. 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gny113>
- Morris, M.G., & Venkatesh, V. (2000) Age differences in technology adoption decisions: Implications for a changing work force. *Personnel Psychology*, 53(2), pp. 375–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2000.tb00206.x>
- Portz, J.D., Bayliss, E.A., Bull, S., Boxer, R.S., Bekelman, D.B., Gleason, K., et al. (2019) Using the technology acceptance model to explore user experience, intent to use, and use behavior of a patient portal among older adults with multiple chronic conditions: Descriptive qualitative study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(4), p. e11604. <https://doi.org/10.2196/11604>
- Rogers, E.M. (1962) *Diffusion of innovations*, New York, London, The Free Press.
- Sorwar, G., Aggar, C., Penman, O., Seton, C., & Ward, A. (2023) Factors that predict the acceptance and adoption of smart home technology by seniors in Australia: A structural equation model with longitudinal data. *Informatics for Health and Social Care*, 48(1), pp. 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2022.2069028>
- Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008) Technology acceptance model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. *Decision Sciences*, 39(2), pp. 273–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2008.00192.x>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F.D. (2000) A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), pp. 186–204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., & Davis, F.D. (2003) User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), p. 425. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., & Xu, X. (2016) Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A Synthesis and the Road Ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 17(5). <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00428>



Wang, H., Chung, J.E., Park, N., McLaughlin, M.L., & Fulk, J. (2012) Understanding online community participation: A technology acceptance perspective. *Communication Research*, 39(6), pp. 781–801. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650211408593>

Yadegari, M., Mohammadi, S., & Masoumi, A.H. (2022) Technology adoption: An analysis of the major models and theories. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, pp. 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2022.2071255>

Yousafzai, S.Y., Foxall, G.R., & Pallister, J.G. (2007) Technology acceptance: A meta-analysis of the TAM: Part 1. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 2(3), pp. 251–280. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17465660710834453>

### **Technology – use, adoption, resistance**

Astell, A.J., McGrath, C., & Dove, E. (2020) ‘That’s for old so and so’s!’: Does identity influence older adults’ technology adoption decisions? *Ageing and Society*, 40(7), pp. 1550–1576. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19000230>

Berkowsky, R., Sharit, J., & Czaja, S. (2017) Factors predicting decisions about technology adoption among older adults. *Innovation in Aging*, 1(3), pp. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igy002>

Chung, J., Demiris, G., Thompson, H.J., Chen, K.-Y., Burr, R., Patel, S., et al. (2017) Feasibility testing of a home-based sensor system to monitor mobility and daily activities in Korean American older adults. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 12(1), p. e12127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12127>

Di Giacomo, D., Ranieri, J., D’Amico, M., Guerra, F., & Passafiume, D. (2019) Psychological barriers to digital living in older adults: Computer anxiety as predictive mechanism for technophobia. *Behavioral Sciences*, 9(9), p. 96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9090096>

Du, C., Wang, H., Chen, H., Fan, X., Liu, D., Du, D., et al. (2021) The feasibility and effectiveness of wearable sensor technology in the management of elderly diabetics with foot ulcer remission: A proof-of-concept pilot study with six cases. *Gerontology*, 67(4), pp. 493–502. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000513729>

Enwald, H., Hirvonen, N., Kangas, M., Keranen, N., Jamsa, T., Huvila, I., et al. (2018) Relationship between everyday health information literacy and attitudes towards mobile technology among older people. In: Kurbanoglu, S., Boustany, J., Spiranec, S., Grassian, E., Mizrahi, D., & Roy, L. (eds.) *Information literacy in the workplace: Communications in computer and information science*, pp. 450–459. Presented at the 5th European Conference on Information Literacy (ECIL). Cham, Springer International Publishing Ag. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-74334-9\\_47](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-74334-9_47)

Gell, N.M., Rosenberg, D.E., Demiris, G., LaCroix, A.Z., & Patel, K.V. (2015a) Patterns of technology use among older adults with and without disabilities. *The Gerontologist*, 55(3), pp. 412–421. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnt166>

Gell, N.M., Wallace, R.B., LaCroix, A.Z., Mroz, T.M., & Patel, K.V. (2015b) Mobility device use in older adults and incidence of falls and worry about falling: Findings from the 2011–2012 national health and aging trends study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63(5), pp. 853–859. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13393>

González-Oñate, C., Fanjul-Peyró, C., & Cabezuelo-Lorenzo, F. (2015) Use, consumption and knowledge of new technologies by elderly people in France, United Kingdom and Spain. *Comunicar*, 23(45), pp. 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.3916/C45-2015-02>

Hanson, V.L. (2001) Web access for elderly citizens. In: *Proceedings of the 1001 EC/NSF Workshop on Universal Accessibility of Ubiquitous Computing: Providing for Elderly*, pp. 14–18.

Harris, M.T., Blocker, K.A., & Rogers, W.A. (2022) Older adults and smart technology: Facilitators and barriers to use. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 4, p. 835927. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2022.835927>

Heywood, F., Oldman, C., & Means, R. (2002) *Housing and Home in Later Life*. Open University Press.

Jokisch, M.R., Schmidt, L.I., Doh, M., Marquard, M., & Wahl, H.-W. (2020) The role of internet self-efficacy, innovativeness and technology avoidance in breadth of internet use: Comparing older technology experts and non-experts. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 111, p. 106408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106408>

Kadylak, T., & Cotten, S.R. (2020) United States older adults' willingness to use emerging technologies. *Information Communication & Society*, 23(5), pp. 736–750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1713848>

Khasawneh, O.Y. (2018) Technophobia: Examining its hidden factors and defining it. *Technology in Society*, 54, pp. 93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2018.03.008>

Köttl, H., Cohn-Schwartz, E., & Ayalon, L. (2021) Self-perceptions of aging and everyday ict engagement: A test of reciprocal associations. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(9), pp. 1913–1922. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa168>

Lang, C., Scheibe, M., Voigt, K., Hübsch, G., Mocke, L., Schmitt, J., et al. (2019) Reasons for non-acceptance and non-use of a home telemonitoring application by multimorbid patients aged 65 years and over. *Zeitschrift für Evidenz, Fortbildung und Qualität im Gesundheitswesen*, 141–142, pp. 76–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zefq.2019.02.009>

Lee, C., & Coughlin, J.F. (2015) Perspective: Older adults' adoption of technology: An integrated approach to identifying determinants and barriers. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 32(5), pp. 747–759. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12176>

Lee, L., & Maher, M.L. (2021) Factors affecting the initial engagement of older adults in the use of interactive technology. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(6), p. 2847. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18062847>

Lee, S.Y., & Phippen, A. (2008) The state of elderly in ICT adoption at rural areas. In: Dowland, P.S., & Furnell, S.M. (eds.) *Advances in networks, computing and communications* 3, pp. 241–250. Plymouth, University of Plymouth.

Mannheim, I., Schwartz, E., Xi, W., Buttigieg, S.C., McDonnell-Naughton, M., Wouters, E.J.M., et al. (2019) Inclusion of older adults in the research and design of digital technology. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(19), p. 3718. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193718>

Mariano, J., Marques, S., Ramos, M.R., Gerardo, F., Cunha, C.L. da, Girenko, A., et al. (2022) Too old for technology? Stereotype threat and technology use by older adults. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 41(7), pp. 1503–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2021.1882577>

Mariano, J., Marques, S., Ramos, M.R., Gerardo, F., & de Vries, H. (2020) Too old for computers? The longitudinal relationship between stereotype threat and computer use by older adults. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568972>

Marquié, J.C., Jourdan-Boddaert, L., & Huet, N. (2002) Do older adults underestimate their actual computer knowledge? *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 21(4), pp. 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929021000020998>

Marschollek, M., Wolf, K.-H., Plischke, M., Ludwig, W., Haux, R., Milhailiclis, A., et al. (2009) People's perceptions and expectations of assistive health-enabling technologies: An empirical study in Germany. *Assistive Technology*, 21(2), pp. 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400430903050460>

National Research Council US (2004) *Technology for adaptive aging*. Washington, National Academies Press US.

Neves, B.B., Amaro, F., & Fonseca, J.R.S. (2013) Coming of (Old) Age in the digital age: ICT usage and non-usage among older adults. *Sociological Research Online*, 18(2), pp. 22–35. <https://doi.org/10.5153/sro.2998>

Nimrod, G. (2021) Not good days for technophobes: Older internet users during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Educational Gerontology*, 47(4), pp. 160–171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2021.1894530>

Nimrod, G. (2018) Technophobia among older internet users. *Educational Gerontology*, 44(2–3), pp. 148–162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2018.1428145>

Petrovčič, A., Peek, S., & Dolničar, V. (2019) Predictors of seniors' interest in assistive applications on smartphones: Evidence from a population-based survey in Slovenia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091623>

Richardson, M., Weaver, C.K., & Zorn, T.E. (2005) 'Getting on': Older New Zealanders' perceptions of computing. *New Media & Society*, 7(2), pp. 219–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444805050763>

Richardson, M. (2006) *Interruption events and sensemaking processes: a narrative analysis of older people's relationships with computers*. Doctoral thesis. Hamilton, University of Waikato.

Rogers, W.A., Stronge, A.J., & Fisk, A.D. (2005) Technology and aging. *Reviews of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, 1(1), pp. 130–171. <https://doi.org/10.1518/155723405783703028>

Schroeder, T., Dodds, L., Georgiou, A., Gewald, H., & Siette, J. (2023) Older adults and new technology: Mapping review of the factors associated with older adults' intention to adopt digital technologies. *Jmir Aging*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.2196/44564>

Seifert, A., Cotten, S.R., & Xie, B. (2021) A double burden of exclusion? Digital and social exclusion of older adults in times of COVID-19. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 76(3), pp. e99–e103. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa098>

Shahnaz, C., Sampad, M.J.N., Adhikary, D., Uchayash, S.M., Mahdia, M., Chowdhury, S.S., et al. (2017) Smart-hat: Safe and smooth walking assistant for elderly people. In: *2017 IEEE International symposium on technology and society (ISTAS)*, IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society, Presented at the IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society (ISTAS). New York, IEEE.

Slegers, K., van Boxtel, M., & Jolles, J. (2009) Effects of computer training and internet usage on cognitive abilities in older adults: A randomized controlled study. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 21(1), pp. 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03324898>

Stara, V., Rampioni, M., Moşoi, A.A., Kristaly, D.M., Moraru, S.-A., Paciaroni, L., et al. (2022) A technology-based intervention to support older adults in living independently: Protocol for a cross-national feasibility pilot. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192416604>

Troncone, A., Amorese, T., Cuciniello, M., Saturno, R., Pugliese, L., Cordasco, G., et al. (2020) Advanced assistive technologies for elderly people: A psychological perspective on seniors' needs and preferences (part A). *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 17(2), pp. 163–189. <https://doi.org/10.12700/APH.17.2.2020.2.10>

Tsertsidis, A., Kolkowska, E., & Hedström, K. (2019) Factors influencing seniors' acceptance of technology for ageing in place in the post-implementation stage: A literature review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 129, pp. 324–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.06.027>

Wang, C.-H., & Wu, C.-L. (2022) Bridging the digital divide: The smart TV as a platform for digital literacy among the elderly. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 41(12), pp. 2546–2559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2021.1934732>

Weck, M., & Afanassieva, M. (2023) Toward the adoption of digital assistive technology: Factors affecting older people's initial trust formation. *Telecommunications Policy*, 47(2), p. 102483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2022.102483>

Wilson, G., Gates, J.R., Vijaykumar, S., & Morgan, D.J. (2023) Understanding older adults' use of social technology and the factors influencing use. *Ageing & Society*, 43(1), pp. 222–245. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X21000490>

Xi, W., Zhang, X., & Ayalon, L. (2022) When less intergenerational closeness helps: The influence of intergenerational physical proximity and technology attributes on technophobia among older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 131, p. 107234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107234>

## Digital literacy

Bratuž Ferik, B., Čelebič, T., Gregorčič, M., Kajzer, A., Kokalj, A., Koprivnikar Šušteršič, M., et al. (2021) *Evropski steber socialnih pravic: Slovenija 2000–2020*. Ljubljana, Urad Republike Slovenije za makroekonomske analize in razvoj.

Friemel, T.N. (2016) The digital divide has grown old: Determinants of a digital divide among seniors. *New Media & Society*, 18(2), pp. 313–331. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814538648>

Eurostat (2022). *How many citizens had basic digital skills in 2021?* Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/-/ddn-20220330-1> (accessed 1. Feb. 2024).

Government of the Republic of Slovenia (2023) *Digital Slovenia 2030: An overarching strategy for Slovenia's digital transformation by 2030*. Ljubljana, Government of the Republic of Slovenia.

Heponiemi, T., Kaihlanen, A.-M., Kouvonen, A., Leemann, L., Taipale, S., & Gluschkoff, K. (2022) The role of age and digital competence on the use of online health and social care services: A cross-sectional population-based survey. *Digital Health*, 8, p. 20552076221074485. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221074485>

Martínez-Alcalá, C.I., Rosales-Lagarde, A., Alonso-Lavernia, M. de los Á., Ramírez-Salvador, J.Á., Jiménez-Rodríguez, B., Cepeda-Rebollar, R.M., et al. (2018) Digital inclusion in older adults: A comparison between face-to-face and blended digital literacy workshops. *Frontiers in ICT*, 5.

Van Deursen, A.J.A.M., Helsper, E.J., & Eynon, R. (2016) Development and validation of the internet skills scale (ISS). *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(6), pp. 804–823. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1078834>

Van Dijk, J. (2020) *The Digital Divide*. Cambridge, Polity.

van Kessel, R., Wong, B.L.H., Clemens, T., & Brand, H. (2022) Digital health literacy as a super determinant of health: More than simply the sum of its parts. *Internet Interventions*, 27, p. 100500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2022.100500>

Volčjak, D., & Žveglič, M. (2017) *Digitalna pismenost za odrasle: Strokovne podlage za pripravo programa*. Ljubljana, Andragoški center Slovenije.

## Digital exclusion/divide

Ferguson, M., & Damodaran, L. (2018) *Digital by choice: Bridging the digital divide*. Notrhampton, Socitm.

Filipovič Hrast, M. (2011) Socialna izključenost starejših: Slovenija v primerjalni perspektivi. In: Mandič, S., & Filipovič Hrast, M. (eds.) *Blaginja pod pritiski demografskih sprememb*, pp. 61–84. Ljubljana, Fakulteta za družbene vede.

Filipovič Hrast, M., Hlebec, V., & Kavčič, M. (2012) The social exclusion of the elderly: A mixed-methods study in Slovenia. *Sociologický Časopis / Czech Sociological Review*, 48(6), pp. 1051–1074.

Liu, X., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Pei, M., & Li, N. (2023) Relationship between digital exclusion and cognitive impairment in Chinese adults. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 15, p. 1194348. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2023.1194348>

Martin, C., Hope, S., & Zubairi, S. (2016) *The role od digital exclusion in social exclusion*. Edinburgh, Ipsos Mori Scotland.

Park, J.R., & Feng, Y. (2023) Trajectory tracking of changes digital divide prediction factors in the elderly through machine learning. *PloS One*, 18(2), p. e0281291. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281291>

Park, S., & Humphry, J. (2019) Exclusion by design: Intersections of social, digital and data exclusion. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), pp. 934–953. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1606266>

Srakar, A., Hren, R., & Prevolnik Rupel, V. (2016) Health services utilization in older Europeans: An empirical study. *Organizacija*, 49(2), pp. 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1515/orga-2016-0009>

Ueno, A., Dennis, C., & Dafoulas, G.A. (2023) Digital exclusion and relative digital deprivation: Exploring factors and moderators of internet non-use in the UK. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 197, p. 122935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122935>

## Digitalization during pandemic era

Bartsch, S., Weber, E., Büttgen, M., & Huber, A. (2020) Leadership matters in crisis-induced digital transformation: How to lead service employees effectively during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Service Management*, 32(1), pp. 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0160>

Conroy, K.M., Krishnan, S., Mittelstaedt, S., & Patel, S.S. (2020) Technological advancements to address elderly loneliness: Practical considerations and community

resilience implications for COVID-19 pandemic. *Working with Older People*, 24(4), pp. 257–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WWOP-07-2020-0036>

D’cruz, M., & Banerjee, D. (2020) ‘An invisible human rights crisis’: The marginalization of older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic - An advocacy review. *Psychiatry Research*, 292, p. 113369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113369>

Drazich, B.F., Li, Q., Perrin, N.A., Szanton, S.L., Lee, J.W., Huang, C.-M., et al. (2023) The relationship between older adults’ technology use, in-person engagement, and pandemic-related mental health. *Aging & mental health*, 27(1), pp. 156–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2022.2046695>

Esteban-Navarro, M.-Á., García-Madurga, M.-Á., Morte-Nadal, T., & Nogales-Bocio, A.-I. (2020) The rural digital divide in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe—Recommendations from a scoping review. *Informatics*, 7(4), p. 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics7040054>

Estrada, S., & Reyes Álvarez, J. (2023) Digital and sustainable transformation: An outcoming response to the pandemic. In: Estrada, S. (ed.) *Digital and sustainable transformations in a post-covid world: Economic, social, and environmental challenges*, pp. 3–41. Cham, Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16677-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16677-8_1)

European Commission (2021) *NextGenerationEU*. Available at: [https://next-generation-eu.europa.eu/index\\_en](https://next-generation-eu.europa.eu/index_en) (accessed 1. Feb. 2024).

Figuroa, C.A., & Aguilera, A. (2020) The need for a mental health technology revolution in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11.

Hai, T.N., Van, Q.N., & Thi Tuyet, M.N. (2021) Digital transformation: Opportunities and challenges for leaders in the emerging countries in response to Covid-19 pandemic. *Emerging Science Journal*, 5, pp. 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2021-SPER-03>

Scarlat, C., Stănciulescu, G.D., & Panduru, D.A. (2022) COVID-19 Pandemic as accelerator: Opportunity for digital acceleration. *Journal of Internet and e-business Studies*, pp. 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2022.296375>

Su, R., Obrenovic, B., Du, J., Godinic, D., & Khudaykulov, A. (2022) COVID-19 Pandemic implications for corporate sustainability and society: A literature review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(3), p. 1592. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031592>

Watts, G. (2020) COVID-19 and the digital divide in the UK. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 2(8), pp. e395–e396. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30169-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30169-2)

## Place attachment

Anton, C.E., & Lawrence, C. (2014) Home is where the heart is: The effect of place of residence on place attachment and community participation. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 40, pp. 451–461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.10.007>

Brown, G., & Raymond, C. (2007) The relationship between place attachment and landscape values: Toward mapping place attachment. *Applied Geography*, 27(2), pp. 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2006.11.002>

Hay, R. (1998) Sense of place in developmental context. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 18(1), pp. 5–29. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.1997.0060>

Hernández, B., Hidalgo, M.C., & Ruiz, C. (2020) Theoretical and methodological aspects of research on place attachment. In: Manzo, L., & Devine-Wright, P. (eds.) *Place Attachment: Advances in Theory, Methods and Applications*, pp. 94–110. London, Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429274442-6>

Jorgensen, B.S., & Stedman, R.C. (2001) Sense of place as an attitude: Lakeshore owners attitudes toward their properties. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 21(3), pp. 233–248. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.2001.0226>

Lewicka, M. (2011) Place attachment: How far have we come in the last 40 years? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31(3), pp. 207–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.10.001>

Mishra, S., Mazumdar, S., & Suar, D. (2010) Place attachment and flood preparedness. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(2), pp. 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.11.005>

Pei, Z. (2019) Roles of neighborhood ties, community attachment and local identity in residents' household waste recycling intention. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 241, p. 118217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118217>

Sampson, R. (1988) Local friendship ties and community attachment in mass society: A multi-level systemic model. *American Sociological Review*, 53, pp. 766–779.

Tuan, Y.-F. (1980) The significance of the artifact. *Geographical Review*, 70(4), p. 462. <https://doi.org/10.2307/214079>

Williams, D., & Vaske, J. (2003) The measurement of place attachment: validity and generalizability of a psychometric approach. *Forest Science*, 49(6), pp. 830–840.

Yuan, D., Lin, Z., & Zhuo, R. (2016) What drives consumer knowledge sharing in online travel communities?: Personal attributes or e-service factors? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, pp. 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.019>

### **Care for elderly (also telecare)**

Anghel, I., Cioara, T., Moldovan, D., Antal, M., Pop, C.D., Salomie, I., et al. (2020) Smart environments and social robots for age-friendly integrated care services. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(11), p. 3801. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113801>



- Bertera, E.M., Tran, B.Q., Wuertz, E.M., & Bonner, A.A. (2007) Attitudes towards health technologies for telecare and their relationship to successful aging in a community-based older minority population. *Forum on Public Policy: A Journal of the Oxford Round Table*. Available <https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA192639808&sid=googleScholar&v=2.1&it=r&linkaccess=abs&issn=1556763X&p=AONE&sw=w&userGroupName=anon%7Ec2873a16&atq=open-web-entry> (28. 12. 2023)
- Börsch-Supan, A. (ed.) (2019) *Health and socio-economic status over the life course: First results from SHARE waves 6 and 7*. Berlin, Boston, De Gruyter.
- Chong Loong, A.Y., Blut, M., & Zheng, S. (2022) Factors influencing the acceptance of healthcare information technologies: A meta-analysis. *Information & Management*, 59(3), p. 103604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103604>
- de Bruin, S.R., Stoop, A., Billings, J., Leichsenring, K., Ruppe, G., Tram, N., et al. (n.d.) The SUSTAIN project: A European study on improving integrated care for older people living at home. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, 18(1), p. 6. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.3090>
- Fisk, M.J. (2003) *Social alarms to telecare: Older people's services in transition*, 1st edition. Bristol, Bristol University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1t8951n>
- Glueckauf, R.L., & Ketterson, T.U. (2004) Telehealth interventions for individuals with chronic illness: Research review and implications for practice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 35(6), pp. 615–627. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.35.6.615>
- Hanson, J., & Percival, J. (2006) Differing perspectives on telecare: An attitudinal survey of older people, professional care workers and informal carers. In: Clarkson, J., Langdon, P., & Robinson, P. (eds.) *Designing Accessible Technology*, pp. 215–225. London, Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/1-84628-365-5\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-84628-365-5_22)
- Hanson, L.C., & Winzelberg, G. (2013) Research priorities for geriatric palliative care: Goals, values, and preferences. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 16(10), pp. 1175–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2013.9475>
- Hvalič Touzery, S. (2007) *Družinska oskrba starih družinskih članov*. Doktorska disertacija. Ljubljana, Univerza v Ljubljani, Fakulteta za socialno delo.
- Kerbler, B. (2012) Ageing at home with the help of information and communication technologies. *Acta geographica Slovenica*, pp. 165–188. <https://doi.org/10.3986/AGS52107>
- Kerbler, Boštjan (2013) Attitudes of the elderly towards a remote home care. *Dela*, 39, pp. 87–106. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.4312/dela.39.5.87-106>
- Kerbler, B. (2013a) The elderly and a remote home care: The case of Slovenia. *Stanovništvo*, 51(1), pp. 23–41. <https://doi.org/10.2298/STNV1301023K>
- Khosla, R., Chu, M.-T., Khaksar, S.M.S., Nguyen, K., & Nishida, T. (2021) Engagement and experience of older people with socially assistive robots in home care. *Assistive technology*:

*The official journal of RESNA*, 33(2), pp. 57–71.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435.2019.1588805>

Lebar, L., Hvalič Touzery, S., Natek, I., & Dolničar, V. (2022) *Long-term care – a challenge and an opportunity for a better tomorrow: Evaluation of pilot projects in the field of long-term care*. Ljubljana, Ministry of Health.

Leibing, A., Guberman, N., & Wiles, J. (2016) Liminal homes: Older people, loss of capacities, and the present future of living spaces. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 37(1), pp. 10–19.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2015.12.002>

Levy, S., Jack, N., Bradley, D., Morison, M., & Swanston, M. (2003) Perspectives on telecare: The client view. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 9(3), pp. 156–160.  
<https://doi.org/10.1258/135763303767149960>

Madara Marasinghe, K. (2016) Assistive technologies in reducing caregiver burden among informal caregivers of older adults: A systematic review. *Disability and Rehabilitation. Assistive Technology*, 11(5), pp. 353–360. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17483107.2015.1087061>

Mežnarec, S.N., Bogataj, D., & Rogelj, V. (2022) Integration of telecare into the national long-term care-system the case of Slovenia. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 21st IFAC Conference on Technology, Culture and International Stability TECIS 2022 55(39), pp. 210–215.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.12.062>

Peeters, J.M., De Veer, A.J.E., Van der Hoek, L., & Francke, A.L. (2012) Factors influencing the adoption of home telecare by elderly or chronically ill people: A national survey. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 21(21–22), pp. 3183–3193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2012.04173.x>

Redford, L.J., & Whitten, P. (1997) Ensuring access to care in rural areas: The role of communication technology. *Generations: Journal of the American Society on Aging*, 21(3), pp. 19–23.

Saranummi, N., Korhonen, I., Kivisaari, S., & Ahjopalo, H. (2006) A framework for developing distributed ICT applications for health. In: *1st Transdisciplinary Conference on Distributed Diagnosis and Home Healthcare, 2006. D2H2.*, pp. 137–143. Presented at the 1st Transdisciplinary Conference on Distributed Diagnosis and Home Healthcare, 2006. D2H2. Arlington, Virginia. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DDHH.2006.1624816>

Sixsmith, A., & Sixsmith, J. (2000) Smart care technologies: Meeting whose needs? *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 6(1\_suppl), pp. 190–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1258/1357633001934636>

Smolej Jež, S., Nagode, M., & Jakob Krejan, P. (2010) *Izvajanje pomoči na domu: Analiza stanja v letu 2009: Končno poročilo*. Ljubljana, Inštitut Republike Slovenije za socialno varstvo.

Sriram, V., Jenkinson, C., & Peters, M. (2019) Informal carers' experience of assistive technology use in dementia care at home: A systematic review. *BMC Geriatrics*, 19(1), p. 160. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1169-0>

Stones, D., & Gullifer, J. (2016) 'At home it's just so much easier to be yourself': Older adults' perceptions of ageing in place. *Ageing & Society*, 36(3), pp. 449–481.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X14001214>

Watanabe, S., Kodama, S., & Hanabusa, H. (2018) Longevity and elderly care: Lessons from Japan. *Global Health Journal*, 2(4), pp. 5–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2414-6447\(19\)30177-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2414-6447(19)30177-0)

## **Housing decisions**

Heywood, F., Oldman, C., & Means, R. (2002) *Housing and Home in Later Life*. Open University Press.

Robison, J.T., & Moen, P. (2000) A Life-Course Perspective on Housing Expectations and Shifts in Late Midlife. *Research on Aging*, 22(5), pp. 499–532.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027500225003>

Rogers, A. (1990) Return migration to region of birth among retirement-age persons in the United States. *Journal of Gerontology*, 45(3), pp. S128-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/45.3.s128>

## **Independent living**

Ahlqvist, A., Nyfors, H., & Suhonen, R. (2016) Factors associated with older people's independent living from the viewpoint of health and functional capacity: A register-based study. *Nursing Open*, 3(2), pp. 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.39>

Chopik, W.J. (2016) The benefits of social technology use among older adults are mediated by reduced loneliness. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 19(9), pp. 551–556. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2016.0151>

Davey, J. (2006) *“Ageing in place”: The views of older homeowners about housing maintenance, renovation and adaptation*. Wellington, Centre for Social Research and Evaluation, Ministry of Social Development.

Davey, J., Rangahau, P., & Haere, P. (2006) Aging in Place: The Views of Older Homeowners on Maintenance, Renovation and Adaptation. *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand*, (27), pp. 128–141.

Jeste, D.V., Glorioso, D., Lee, E.E., Daly, R., Graham, S., Liu, J., et al. (2019) Study of independent living residents of a continuing care senior housing community: Sociodemographic and clinical associations of cognitive, physical, and mental health. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 27(9), pp. 895–907.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2019.04.002>

Mynatt, E.D., & Rogers, W.A. (2001) Developing technology to support the functional independence of older adults. *Ageing International*, 27(1), pp. 24–41.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12126-001-1014-5>

Ollevier, A., Aguiar, G., Palomino, M., & Simpelaere, I.S. (2020) How can technology support ageing in place in healthy older adults? A systematic review. *Public Health Reviews*, 41(1), p. 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-020-00143-4>

## **Housing alternatives for elderly**

Kerbler, B.K., Sendi, R., & Filipovič Hrast, M. (2019) Trajnostne oblike stanovanjske oskrbe starejših v Sloveniji. *Urbani izziv*, (9), pp. 81–89.

## **Quality of living**

Gobbens, R.J.J., & van Assen, M.A.L.M. (2018) Associations of environmental factors with quality of life in older adults. *The Gerontologist*, 58(1), pp. 101–110.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnx051>

Hlebec, V., Kavčič, M., Filipovič Hrast, M., Vezovnik, A., & Trbanc, M. (2010) *Samo da bo denar in zdravje: življenje starih revnih ljudi*, 1. natis. edition, Knjižna zbirka Ost. Ljubljana, Fakulteta za družbene vede.

## **Methodology**

### ***Action research***

Cunningham, B. (1976) Action research: toward a procedural model. *Human Relations*, 29(3), pp. 215–238. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872677602900302>

Flas Borda, O. (2001) Participatory (action) research in social theory: Origins and challenges. In: Reason, P., & Bradbury-Huang, H. (eds.) *Handbook of action research: Participative inquiry and practice*, pp. 27–37. London, SAGE Publications Ltd.

Glassman, M., Erdem, G., & Bartholomew, M. (2012) Action research and its history as an adult education movement for social change. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 63(3), pp. 272–288.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0741713612471418>

Kemmis, S. (2009) Action research as a practice-based practice. *Educational Action Research*, 17(3), pp. 463–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650790903093284>

Mesec, B. (1994) Model akcijskega raziskovanja. *Socialno delo*, 33(1), pp. 3–16.

Videmšek, P. (2012) Uporabniško raziskovanje kot produkcija znanja. *Socialno delo*, 51(1/3), pp. 115–125.

### ***Focus groups***

Adams, A., & Cox, A.L. (2008) Questionnaires, in-depth interviews and focus groups. In: Cairns, P., & Cox, A. (eds.) *Research methods for human computer interaction*, pp. 17–34. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Barbour, R., & Kitzinger, J. (eds.) (1999) *Developing focus group research: Politics, theory and practice*. London, SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849208857>

Green, J., & Hart, L. (1999) The impact of context on data. In: Barbour, R., & Kitzinger, J. (eds.) *Developing focus group research: Politics, theory and practice*, pp. 21–36. London, SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849208857>

Knap, N.E., & Propst, D.B. (2001) Focus group interviews as an alternative to traditional survey methods for recreation needs assessments. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 19(2).

Tipping, J. (1998) Focus groups: A method of needs assessment. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 18(3), pp. 150–154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chp.1340180304>

### ***Mixed-methods***

Creswell, J.W. (2014) *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches*, Los Angeles, Sage Publications.

Ivankova, N.V., Creswell, J.W., & Stick, S.L. (2006) Using mixed-methods sequential explanatory design: From theory to practice. *Field Methods*, 18(1), pp. 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05282260>

Klassen, A.C., Creswell, J., Clark, V.L.P., Smith, K.C., & Meissner, H.I. (2012) Commentary: Best practices in mixed methods for quality of life research. *Quality of Life Research*, 21(3), pp. 377–380.

O’Cathain, A., Murphy, E., & Nicholl, J. (2007) Why, and how, mixed methods research is undertaken in health services research in England: A mixed methods study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 7(1), p. 85. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-7-85>